UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,388	07/07/2006	Akiko Uchikawa	10873.1920USWO	2992
	7590 03/22/201 UMANN, MUELLER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2902	2	HUNTLEY, DANIEL CARROLL		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/22/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/585,388	UCHIKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DANIEL HUNTLEY	3737		

		DANIEL HUNTLEY	3737				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	iffidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b)							
have under set fo may r	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exit 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sirth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amous thortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of	.136(a) and the appropriant of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th				
AME	a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	within the time period set forth in	37 CFR 41.37(a).				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brid	ef. will not be entered b	ecause			
<u>د.</u>	(a) $\boxtimes$ They raise new issues that would require further co			00000			
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•					
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **					
4. ∟ 5. □	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. 6. [		- <del> </del>	timely filed amendme	ant canceling the			
	non-allowable claim(s).	·		_			
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-5.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.			
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
		ا جلنا جس کی طلب ا					
		/Ruth S. Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art	Unit 3737				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 1, specifically the phrase 'configured to' added in lines 6, 9, 19 and 22, add structural limitations to both the 'filter coefficient calculation portion' and the 'first spatial filter operation portion' that were previously recited as functional language and would require further consideration and/or search. Further, the elimination of means plus function language broadens the scope of the claimed invention. Napolitano('846) do teach spatial filtering of multiple receive beams from a single transmitted beam as well as a method for determining filter coefficients based on reception beam information such as range, elevation, and azimuth (col 14, lns 15-49).